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For given $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m} \in K[x]$ that are relatively prime, where $K$ is a field, Kalkbrener, Sweedler, and Taylor (1993) present degree bounds on the $a_{i}$ needed to express 1 (and other low degree polynomials) as $\sum a_{i} f_{i}$. Their bounds are an improvement on bounds given by Kakié (1976). This note presents a direct proof of the following fact.
Theorem: Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ be $m \geq 2$ polynomials in $K[x]$ without a common polynomial divisor such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)$ for all $2 \leq i \leq m$. Suppose that every subset of $T$ polynomials $f_{1}, f_{i_{2}}, \ldots, f_{i_{T}}$ has a common polynomial divisor, where $2 \leq i_{2}<i_{3}<\cdots<$ $i_{T} \leq m$. Then there exist polynomials $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m} \in$ $K[x]$ such that $a_{1} f_{1}+\cdots+a_{m} f_{1}=1$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{1}\right) \leq$ $\max _{2 \leq j \leq m}\left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{j}\right)\right\}-(T-1)$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{i}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)-$ $(T-1)$ for all $i \geq 2$.

Note that the above theorem is slightly stronger than the one presented in Kalkbrener et al., where $T$ is taken so that no arbitrary subset of $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\}$ of cardinality $T$ is relatively prime. However, the restriction to subsets containing $f_{1}$ can also be incorporated in Kalkbrener's et al. argument (see the proof of their Theorem 2.5).

My arguments are based on the technique used to prove the uniqueness of the partial fraction decomposition of a rational function, whereas Kalkbrener et al. use isomorphisms on the vectorspaces generated by degree bounded polynomials and their direct products.
Proof: Without loss of generality one may assume that for all $i \geq 2$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}=\operatorname{GCD}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{i-1}, f_{i+1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right) \neq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, pick a relatively prime subset containing $f_{1}$. Let $G=g_{2} \cdots g_{m}$. Therefore

$$
f_{1}=G \cdot f_{1}^{\prime}, \quad f_{i}=G / g_{i} \cdot f_{i}^{\prime} \quad i \geq 2
$$

where $\operatorname{GCD}\left(g_{i}, f_{i}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{GCD}\left(g_{i}, g_{j}\right)=1$ for $i \neq j$,

[^0]because the set of all $f_{i}$ is relatively prime. We prove by induction on $m$ that for a set of polynomials satisfying (1) and any polynomial $h$ there exist polynomials $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ such that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} f_{1}+\cdots+a_{m} f_{m}=h \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{i}\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)-(m-2) \quad \text { for } \quad i \geq 2 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{m}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)-(m-2)$, since the $g_{i}$ are non-constant. For $m=2$, the scheme $a_{1}^{\prime} f_{1}+a_{2}^{\prime} f_{2}=1$ with $a_{1}^{\prime}$ and $a_{2}^{\prime} \in K[x]$ leads to the solution $a_{1}=h a_{1}^{\prime}+$ $q_{2} f_{2}$ and $a_{2}=r_{2}$ where $a_{2}^{\prime} h=q_{2} f_{1}+r_{1}$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(r_{1}\right)<$ $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)$. Hence for general $m$ there are polynomials $A$ and $a_{m}$ such that

$$
A g_{m}+a_{m} f_{m}=h
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{m}\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{m}\right)$. It remains to write

$$
A=a_{1}\left(f_{1} / g_{m}\right)+\cdots+a_{m-1}\left(f_{m-1} / g_{m}\right)
$$

with $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{i}\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} / g_{m}\right)-(m-3) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)-(m-2)$ for $i \geq 2$. Note that the set $f_{1} / g_{m}, \ldots f_{m-1} / g_{m}$ satisfies condition (1) for possibly new non-constant GCDs that must be divisible by the old $g_{i}$. This establishes (2.1) and (2.2). The bound for $a_{1}$ follows from $a_{1}=(1-$ $\left.a_{2} f_{2}-\cdots-a_{m} f_{m}\right) / f_{1}$.
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