Outline of Today’s Lecture

- Virtual Memory.
  - Paged virtual memory.
  - Virtual to Physical translation: The page table.
  - Fragmentation.
  - Page replacement policies.
  - Reducing the Virtual to Physical address translation time.
    - The TLB
      - Parallel access to the TLB and Cache
  - Memory Protection
  - Putting it all together: The SPARC-20 memory system

Virtual Memory
Memory System Management Problems

- Different Programs have different memory requirements.
  - How to manage program placement?

- Different machines have different amount of memory.
  - How to run the same program on many different machines?

- At any given time each machine runs a different set of programs.
  - How to fit the program mix into memory? Reclaiming unused memory? Moving code around?

- The amount of memory consumed by each program is dynamic (changes over time).
  - How to effect changes in memory location: add or subtract space?

- Program bugs can cause a program to generate reads and writes outside the program address space.
  - How to protect one program from another?

Virtual Memory

- Provides illusion of very large memory
  - Sum of the memory of many jobs greater than physical memory
  - Address space of each job larger than physical memory

- Allows available (fast and expensive) physical memory to be well utilized.

- Simplifies memory management: code and data movement, protection, ... (main reason today)

- Exploits memory hierarchy to keep average access time low.

- Involves at least two storage levels: main and secondary

- Virtual Address -- address used by the programmer

- Virtual Address Space -- collection of such addresses

- Memory Address -- address in physical memory also known as "physical address" or "real address"

Paged Virtual Memory: Main Idea

- Divide memory (virtual and physical) into fixed size blocks (Pages, Frames).
  - Pages in Virtual space.
  - Frames in Physical space.

- Make page size a power of 2: \((\text{page size} \leq 2^n)\)

- All pages in the virtual address space are contiguous.

- Pages can be mapped into physical Frames in any order.

- Some of the pages are in main memory (DRAM), some of the pages are on secondary memory (disk).

- All programs are written using Virtual Memory Address Space.

- The hardware does on-the-fly translation between virtual and physical address spaces.

- Use a Page Table to translate between Virtual and Physical addresses
Basic Issues in Virtual Memory System Design

- Size of information blocks (pages) that are transferred from secondary to main storage (M).
- Block of information brought into M, and M is full, then some region of M must be released to make room for the new block → replacement policy.
- Which region of M is to hold the new block → placement policy.
- Missing item fetched from secondary memory only on the occurrence of a fault → demand load policy.

Paging Organization

Virtual and Physical Memories

Virtual to Physical Address translation

Page size: 4K
Address Map

\[ V = \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \text{ virtual address space} \]
\[ M = \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\} \text{ physical address space} \]

\[ n > m \]

MAP: \[ V \rightarrow M \cup \{0\} \text{ address mapping function} \]

\[ \text{MAP}(a) = a' \text{ if data at virtual address } a \text{ is present in physical address } a' \text{ and } a' \text{ in } M \]

\[ = 0 \text{ if data at virtual address } a \text{ is not present in } M \]

Processor Address Trans. Mechanism

Fault handler

Main Memory

Physical address

Address Mapping Algorithm

\[ \text{If } V = 1 \]
then page is in main memory at frame address stored in table else address located page in secondary memory

Access Control
\[ R = \text{ Read-only, R/W = Read/Write, X = Execute only} \]

If kind of access not compatible with specified access rights, then protection violation fault

If valid bit not set then page fault

Protection Fault: access control violation; causes trap to hardware, or software fault handler

Page Fault: page not resident in physical memory, also causes a trap; usually accompanied by a context switch; current process suspended while page is fetched from secondary storage

e.g., VAX 11/780 each process sees a 4 gigabyte (2^32 bytes) virtual address space 1/2 for user regions, 1/2 for a system wide name space shared by all processes.

page size is 512 bytes (too small)
The Page Frame Table

- The Page Frame Table: One entry per physical page frame
- Hardware sets
  - Recently Used bit to 1 when frame is referenced
  - Dirty bit to 1 when frame is modified
  - Operating system resets these bits to 0 – "dirty" means frame contents does NOT match disk
- Operating System maintains the Valid bit, and the Virtual Page # field. These fields together indicate that, if Virtual, the frame contains the virtual page number indicated, while if Virtual, the frame contains no valid page.
- Alternative designs are possible, but are constrained by what the hardware maintains

Page Replacement Algorithms

Just like cache block replacement!

Least Recently Used:
- selects the least recently used page for replacement
- requires knowledge about past references, more difficult to implement (thread through page table entries from most recently referenced to least recently referenced; when a page is referenced it is placed at the head of the list; the end of the list is the page to replace)
- good performance, recognizes principle of locality

Page Replacement (Continued)

Not Recently Used:
Associated with each page frame is a reference flag such that
ref flag = 1 if the frame has been referenced in recent past
= 0 otherwise
- if replacement is necessary, choose any page frame such that its reference bit is 0. This is a frame that has not been referenced in the recent past
- per fault implementation of NRU:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FRAME table entry</th>
<th>last replaced pointer (lrp)</th>
<th>virtual page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An optimization is to first search for a page that is both not recently used AND not dirty. If none, use first not recently used frame. Why?

The virtual page number allows access to the page table entry which points to this page frame.
Choosing a Page Size

What if page is too small?
- Too many misses
- BIG page tables

What if page is too big?
- Fragmentation
  - don't use all of the page, but can't use that DRAM for other pages
  - want to minimize fragmentation (get good utilization of physical memory)
- Smaller page tables
- Trend is toward larger pages
  - increasing gap between CPU/DRAM/DISK

Optimal Page Size

Choose page size that minimizes fragmentation (partial use of pages)

large page size => internal fragmentation more severe
BUT decreases the # of pages / name space => smaller page tables

In general, the trend is towards larger page sizes because
- Memories get larger as the price of RAM drops.
- The gap between processor speed and disk speed grow wider.
- Programmers demand larger virtual address spaces (larger program)
- Smaller page table.

Most machines at 4K-8K byte pages today, with page sizes likely to increase

Fragmentation

Page Table Fragmentation occurs when page tables become very large because of large virtual address spaces; linearly mapped page tables could take up sizable chunk of memory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EX</th>
<th>Page Number</th>
<th>Disp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>P0 region of user process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>P1 region of user process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>system name space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: this implies that page table could require up to 2^21 entries, each on the order of 4 bytes long (8 M Bytes)

Alternatives to linear page table:

1. Hardware associative mapping: requires one entry per page frame (O(M))
2. "software" approach based on a hash table (inverted page table)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page Table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>associative or hashed lookup on the page number field</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Virtual Address and a Cache

It takes an extra memory access to translate VA to PA
This makes cache access very expensive, and this is the "innermost loop" that you want to go as fast as possible

Translation Lookaside Buffer (TLB)

A way to speed up translation is to use a special cache of recently used page table entries -- this has many names, but the most frequently used is Translation Lookaside Buffer or TLB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virtual Address</th>
<th>Physical Address</th>
<th>Dirty</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Access</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

TLB access time comparable to cache access time (much less than main memory access time)

Typical TLB is 64-256 entries fully associative cache with random replacement

Translation Look-Aside Buffers

Just like any other cache, the TLB can be organized as fully associative, set associative, or direct mapped

TLBs are usually small, typically not more than 128 - 256 entries even on high end machines. This permits fully associative lookup on these machines. Many mid-range machines use small n-way set associative organizations.

Translation with a TLB
**TLB Design**

- Must be fast, not increase critical path
- Must achieve high hit ratio
- Generally small highly associative (64-128 entries FA cache)
- Mapping change
  - page added/removed from physical memory
  - processor must invalidate the TLB entry (special instructions)
- Page Table Entry (PTE) is a per-process entity
  - Multiple processes with same virtual addresses
  - Context Switch?
- Flush TLB
- Add Address Space ID (ASID), or Process ID (PID)
  - part of processor state, must be set on context switch

**Hardware Managed TLBs**

- Hardware Handles TLB miss
- Dictates page table organization
- Complicated state machine to "walk page table"
- Exception only if access violation

**Software Managed TLBs**

- Software Handles TLB miss
  - OS reads translations from Page Table and puts them in TLB
  - special instructions
- Flexible page table organization
- Simple Hardware to detect Hit or Miss
- Exception if TLB miss or access violation
Reducing Translation Time

Machines with TLBs go one step further to reduce cycles/cache access
They overlap the cache access with the TLB access
Works because high order bits of the VA are used to look in the TLB while low order bits are used as index into cache

Overlapped Cache & TLB Access

IF cache hit AND (cache tag = PA) then deliver data to CPU
ELSE IF [cache miss OR (cache tag != PA)] and TLB hit THEN access memory with the PA from the TLB
ELSE do standard VA translation

Problems With Overlapped TLB Access

Overlapped access only works as long as the address bits used to index into the cache do not change as the result of VA translation
This usually limits things to small caches, large page sizes, or high n-way set associative caches if you want a large cache
Example: suppose everything the same except that the cache is increased to 8 K bytes instead of 4 K:

This bit is changed by VA translation, but is needed for cache lookup

Solutions:
go to 8K byte page sizes; go to 2 way set associative cache; or SW guarantee VA[13]=PA[13]
More on Selecting a Page Size

- Reasons for larger page size
  - Page table size is inversely proportional to the page size.
  - Faster cache hit time when cache size <= page size; this allows use of virtual address as cache index (p 596). Also, bigger page => bigger cache.
  - Transferring larger pages to or from secondary storage is more efficient (Higher bandwidth).
  - The number of TLB entries is restricted by clock cycle time, so a larger page size reduces TLB misses.

- Reasons for a smaller page size
  - Don't waste storage; data must be contiguous within page.
  - Quicker process start for small processes?

- Hybrid solution: multiple page sizes:
  - Alpha, UltraSPARC: 8KB, 64KB, 512 KB, 4 MB pages

Memory Protection

- Paging virtual memory provides protection by:
  - Each process (user or OS) has different virtual memory space.
  - The OS maintains the page tables for all processes.
  - A reference outside the process's allocated space causes an exception that lets the OS decide what to do.
  - Memory sharing between processes is done via different Virtual spaces but common physical frames.

Putting it together: The SparcStation 20:

- The SparcStation 20 has the following memory system.
- Caches: Two level-1 caches: I-cache and D-cache

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Instruction cache</th>
<th>Data cache</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>20Kbyte 5-way SA</td>
<td>16KB 4-way SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page size</td>
<td>4K bytes</td>
<td>4K bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>Pseudo LRU</td>
<td>Pseudo LRU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- TLB: 64 entry Fully Associative TLB, Random replacement
- External Level-2 Cache: 1M-byte, Direct Map, 128 byte blocks, 32-byte sub-blocks.
SparcStation 20 Data Access
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